

CERN-TH.6502/92

PAR-LPTHE 92-17

THE OPERATOR ALGEBRA OF THE DISCRETE STATE OPERATORS IN 2D GRAVITY WITH NON-VANISHING COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT

Vl.S.Dotsenko* [†]

Theory Division, CERN

CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

and

LPTHE[‡]

Université Pierre et Marie Curie, PARIS VI

Tour 16, 1^{er} étage

4 place Jussieu

75252 Paris CEDEX 05, FRANCE

ABSTRACT

Remarks are given to the structure of physical states in the 2D gravity coupled to $C \leq 1$ matter. The operator algebra of the discrete state operators is calculated for the theory with non-vanishing cosmological constant.

CERN-TH.6502/92

May, 1992

*E-mail address: dotsenko@lpthe.jussieu.fr ; dotsenko@cernvm.bitnet

[†]Permanent address: Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Moscow.

[‡]Laboratoire associé No. 280 au CNRS

1 Introduction and results

The extra discrete physical states in the 2D gravity coupled to matter have been a subject of a number of recent studies. Their existence had been observed in the matrix model approach [1] for $C = 1$ theory, and they have been defined in the continuum theory and further analyzed in [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7], for more general class of theories.

The operator algebra of the operators corresponding to these extra discrete states have been defined in [8, 9], in the framework of $C = 1$ theory, for the vanishing value of the cosmological constant. It was found that the chiral current type operators form the w_∞ algebra, while the zero-form, zero ghost number operators form the ground ring algebra. In the matrix model approach the related results have been obtained in the papers [10].

Further consequences of these algebras, in the form of Ward identities for amplitudes of tachyonic operators have been analyzed recently in [11, 12, 13, 14, 15].

Here we shall calculate the deformation of the above mentioned algebras by the presence in the theory of a nonvanishing cosmological constant. Work in that direction have been done in a number of recent papers [16, 17, 18, 19].

But we shall repeat first some of the remarks made in [19] to the structure of the physical states in 2D gravity coupled to the minimal model as matter. This is to make further calculation of the algebras applicable to a wider class of theories.

2D gravity coupled to minimal matter could be represented, apart from ghosts, by two free fields with background charges, with the stress-energy tensor

$$T = T_M + T_L \tag{1.1}$$

$$T_M = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi_M)^2 + i\alpha_0\partial^2\varphi_M, \quad T_L = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi_L)^2 + \beta_0\partial^2\varphi_L \tag{1.2}$$

Here φ_M and φ_L are normalized as:

$$\langle\varphi_M\varphi_M\rangle = \langle\varphi_L\varphi_L\rangle = \log \frac{1}{|z - z'|^2} \tag{1.3}$$

The field φ_M is to represent, by the Coulomb gas technique, the conformal theory of

matter, and φ_L that of Liouville. The relation for the central charges is:

$$C = C_M + C_L = 26 \quad (1.4)$$

$$C_M = 1 - 12\alpha_0^2, \quad C_L = 1 + 12\beta_0^2, \quad \beta_0^2 - \alpha_0^2 = 2 \quad (1.5)$$

The usual physical state operators will be of the form, by David and Distler-Kawai arguments [20]:

$$\Phi_{n'.n}^{(\mp)}(z, \bar{z}) = \exp(i\alpha_{n'.n}\varphi_M(z, \bar{z}) + \beta_{n'.n}^\mp\varphi_L(z, \bar{z})) \quad (1.6)$$

$$\alpha_{n'.n} = \frac{1-n'}{2}\alpha_- + \frac{1-n}{2}\alpha_+ \quad (1.7)$$

$$\beta_{n'.n} = \frac{1-n'}{2}\beta_- + \frac{1-n}{2}\beta_+, \quad \beta_{n'.n}^\mp = \beta_{-n'.n}, \beta_{n'.-n} \quad (1.8)$$

$$\alpha_\pm = \alpha_0 \pm \sqrt{\alpha_0^2 + 2}, \quad \beta_\pm = \beta_0 \pm \sqrt{\beta_0^2 - 2} = \pm\alpha_\pm \quad (1.9)$$

with $(n'.n)$ taking their values inside the basic conformal grid:

$$1 \leq n' \leq p' - 1, \quad 1 \leq n \leq p - 1 \quad (1.10)$$

Here $p', p : \alpha_+^2 = 2p'/p$, p', p being relative prime numbers. The unusual physical operators, the extra ones as compared to the minimal model without gravity, are the special states in the modules of the states $\alpha_{n'.n}$ in (1.6) (with $\beta_{n'.n}^\mp$ to be shifted appropriately), or the states (1.6) themselves with $(n'.n)$ outside the basic grid (1.10).

Under the linear transformation in the system of two fields φ_M and φ_L :

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_M(z, \bar{z}) &= \frac{1}{2}(-i\alpha_0\varphi_1 + \beta_0\varphi_2) \\ \varphi_L(z, \bar{z}) &= \frac{1}{2}(-\beta_0\varphi_1 - i\alpha_0\varphi_2) \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

the stress-energy tensor (1.1) takes the form

$$T = -\frac{1}{4}(\partial\varphi_2)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(\partial\varphi_1)^2 - \partial^2\varphi_1 = T_2 + T_1 \quad (1.12)$$

with fields φ_1 and φ_2 normalized as:

$$\langle\varphi_1\varphi_1\rangle = \langle\varphi_2\varphi_2\rangle = 2\log\frac{1}{|z-z'|^2} \quad (1.13)$$

By (12) we observe that we get an effective $C = 1$ theory: φ_2 -‘matter’, with $\alpha_0 = 0$, $C_2 = 1$, and φ_1 -‘Liouville’, with $\beta_0 = -1$, $C_1 = 25$.

The operators in (1.6) become:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{n'.n}^{(-)}(z, \bar{z}) &= \exp(ij_{n'.n}^{(-)}\varphi_2 + (-1 - j_{n'.n}^{(-)})\varphi_1) \\ j_{n'.n}^{(-)} &= \frac{n'}{2}\rho' - \frac{n}{2}, \quad \rho' = \frac{(\alpha)_-^2}{2} = \frac{p}{p'}\end{aligned}\tag{1.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{n'.n}^{(+)}(z, \bar{z}) &= \exp(ij_{n'.n}^{(+)}\varphi_2 + (-1 + j_{n'.n}^{(+)})\varphi_1) \\ j_{n'.n}^{(+)} &= \frac{n'}{2} - \frac{n}{2}\rho, \quad \rho = \frac{(\alpha)_+^2}{2} = \frac{p'}{p}\end{aligned}\tag{1.15}$$

Taking e.g. the branch (1.15) we observe that the states $(n'.0)$ are mapped, by the linear transformation (1.11), onto the $su(2)$ discrete tachyonic states of the $C = 1$ theory. Their modules involve $su(2)$ multiplets of extra physical states [2, 3, 5]. The usual physical states are mapped onto the tachyonic states with fractional values of the $su(2)$ momenta.

Notice that the extra physical states in the modules of the $\alpha_{n'.n}$ states (1.6) (with $\beta_{n'.n}^\mp$ to be shifted) get assembled in the modules of the $su(2)$ tachyonic states, $(n'.0)$ or $(0.n)$, after the linear transformation (1.11). We have to check for the first extra state in the module of $\alpha_{n'.n}$, as the inclusion repeats itself. The first extra state will have the form:

$$(\dots) \exp(i\alpha_{n'.n}\varphi_M(z, \bar{z}) + \beta_{n'.n}\varphi_L(z, \bar{z}))\tag{1.16}$$

$\beta_{n',-n}$ is replaced with $\beta_{n'.n}$, and the conformal dimension of the exponential operator becomes $1 - n'n$; (...) stands for a polynomial of φ_M , φ_L oscillator states, of level $n'n$. The exponential in (1.16) transforms, under (1.11), into

$$(\dots) \exp(i\frac{n' - n}{2}\varphi_2 + (-1 + \frac{n' + n}{2})\varphi_1)\tag{1.17}$$

This is the state in the module of $j = \frac{n'-n}{2}$ ‘matter’ state.

After these remarks it is clear that we may as well stay in the framework of the $C = 1$ theory with gravity, when calculating amplitudes (correlation functions). In this respect

it is more a question of what particular set of operators we are interested in, than what is the C -matter of the theory.

We shall give next the results for the operator algebra of $su(2)$ physical state operators [8]:

$$\mathcal{T}_{j,m} = T_{j,m} \overline{T}_{j,m}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{j,m} = O_{j,m} \overline{O}_{j,m} \quad (1.18)$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}_{j,m} = T_{j+1,m} \overline{O}_{j,m} \quad (1.19)$$

$T_{j,m}$ are the conformal dimension one chiral operators:

$$T_{j,m} = (H^-)^{j-m} \exp(ijX + (-1+j)\phi) \quad (1.20)$$

$$H^- = \oint \frac{du}{2\pi i} \exp(-iX(u)) \quad (1.21)$$

They form $su(2)$ multiplets. The importance of the chiral (antichiral) operators $O_{j,m}$ ($\overline{O}_{j,m}$) have been stressed in [8]. The $j = 1/2$ states have the following explicit form:

$$O_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} =: (cb + \frac{i}{2} \partial X - \frac{1}{2} \partial \phi) \exp(\frac{i}{2} X + \frac{1}{2} \phi) : \quad (1.22)$$

$$O_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}} = H^- O_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} =: (cb - \frac{i}{2} \partial X - \frac{1}{2} \partial \phi) \exp(-\frac{i}{2} X + \frac{1}{2} \phi) : \quad (1.23)$$

We have assumed in (1.20–1.23) the notations and normalizations of [9, 11]. In particular

$$X = \sqrt{2} \varphi_M, \quad \phi = \sqrt{2} \varphi_L, \quad \alpha_0 = 0, \quad \beta_0 = -1$$

$$\langle XX \rangle = \langle \phi \phi \rangle = 2 \log \frac{1}{|z - z'|^2} \quad (1.24)$$

b, c are covariant ghosts, dimension 2, -1 chiral fields. In the fully bosonized form the operator $O_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}$ in (1.22) becomes:

$$O_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} =: (i \partial \varphi + \frac{i}{2} \partial X - \frac{1}{2} \partial \phi) \exp(\frac{i}{2} X + \frac{1}{2} \phi) : \quad (1.25)$$

Here [21]

$$c = \exp(i\varphi), \quad b = \exp(-i\varphi), \quad : cb := i\varphi$$

$$\langle \varphi \varphi \rangle = \log \frac{1}{(z - z')} \quad (1.26)$$

The operator $\overline{O}_{j,m}$ in (1.19) balance the left-right momenta of physical chiral operators, the currents $\mathcal{W}_{j,m}$ [8].

Let us introduce the following operator:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta &= - \oint \frac{du}{2\pi i} b(u) \exp(-\frac{i}{2}X + \frac{1}{2}\phi) \\ &= - \oint \frac{du}{2\pi i} \exp(-i\varphi - \frac{i}{2}X + \frac{1}{2}\phi)\end{aligned}\quad (1.27)$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(c(z)T_{1,1}(z)) \\ = - \oint \frac{du}{2\pi i} \exp(-i\varphi - \frac{i}{2}X + \frac{1}{2}\phi) \times \exp(i\phi(z) + iX(z)) = O_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(z)\end{aligned}\quad (1.28)$$

Easy to see also that $\delta^2 = 0$, δ commutes with H^- , eq.(1.21), and it can be checked, with some algebra, that δ commutes with the BRST operator

$$d = \oint \frac{du}{2\pi i} : c(T_M + T_L + \frac{1}{2}T_{gh}) \quad (1.29)$$

– this is up to some bare null states, which are supposed to decouple in the amplitudes. Then, in the general case, we will have, by matching the quantum numbers:

$$O_{j,m} = \delta(cT_{j+\frac{1}{2},m+\frac{1}{2}}) \quad (1.30)$$

The calculation of each particular term of the operator algebra factorizes onto chiral and antichiral factor calculations, up to a small detail for the Liouville part which is remarked on in Section 2. The calculation of the operator algebra of the $T_{j,m}$ chiral factors in (1.18),(1.19) has already been done in [19], and is reproduced in Section 2, in a slightly different way. The calculation of the algebra of the chiral operators $O_{j,m}$ can be done using the representation (1.30). This is done in Section 3.

The general form of the algebras could be written as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}_{j_1,m_1}(z, \bar{z}) \times \mathcal{T}_{j_2,m_2}(z', \bar{z}') = \frac{1}{|z - z'|^2} \sum_{j_3} D_{(j_1,m_1),(j_2,m_2),(j_3,m_3)}^{(T)} \mathcal{T}_{j_3,m_3}(z', \bar{z}') \quad (1.31)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{j_1,m_1}(z, \bar{z}) \times \mathcal{O}_{j_2,m_2}(z', \bar{z}') = \sum_{j_3} D_{(j_1,m_1),(j_2,m_2),(j_3,m_3)}^{(O)} \mathcal{O}_{j_3,m_3}(z', \bar{z}') \quad (1.32)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{j_1,m_1}(z, \bar{z}) \times \mathcal{W}_{j_2,m_2}(z', \bar{z}') = \frac{1}{(z - z')} \sum_{j_3} D_{(j_1,m_1),(j_2,m_2),(j_3,m_3)}^{(W)} \mathcal{W}_{j_3,m_3}(z', \bar{z}') \quad (1.33)$$

with the operator algebra structure constants having the general form:

$$D_{(j_1, m_1), (j_2, m_2), (j_3, m_3)}^{(\mathcal{T})} = (A_{(j_1, m_1), (j_2, m_2), (j_3, m_3)})^2 \quad (1.34)$$

$$D_{(j_1, m_1), (j_2, m_2), (j_3, m_3)}^{(\mathcal{O})} = (B_{(j_1, m_1), (j_2, m_2), (j_3, m_3)})^2 \quad (1.35)$$

$$D_{(j_1, m_1), (j_2, m_2), (j_3, m_3)}^{(\mathcal{W})} = A_{(j_1+1, m_1), (j_2+1, m_2), (j_3+1, m_3)} B_{(j_1, m_1), (j_2, m_2), (j_3, m_3)} \quad (1.36)$$

In the r.h.s. of (1.31–1.33) just the BRST cohomology states are kept. The component constants A and B are found, in Section 2 and Section 3, to have the following form, for the appropriately normalized operators:

$$A_{(j_1, m_1), (j_2, m_2), (j_3, m_3)} = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & -m_3 \end{pmatrix} d_{j_1, j_2, j_3}^{(A)} \quad (1.37)$$

$$d_{j_1, j_2, j_3}^{(A)} = \frac{(j_1 + j_2 - j_3)!(j_1 - j_2 + j_3)!(-j_1 + j_2 + j_3)!}{\Delta_{j_1, j_2, j_3}^{1/2} [(2j_1 - 1)!(2j_2 - 1)!(2j_3 - 1)!]^{1/2}} \quad (1.38)$$

$$B_{(j_1, m_1), (j_2, m_2), (j_3, m_3)} = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & -m_3 \end{pmatrix} d_{j_1, j_2, j_3}^{(B)} \quad (1.39)$$

$$d_{j_1, j_2, j_3}^{(B)} = \frac{(j_1 + j_2 - j_3)!(j_1 - j_2 + j_3)!(-j_1 + j_2 + j_3)!}{\Delta_{j_1, j_2, j_3}^{1/2} [(2j_1)!(2j_2)!(2j_3)!]^{1/2}} \quad (1.40)$$

Here $\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix}$ is the $su(2)$ $3j$ symbol. The factor $\Delta_{j_1, j_2, j_3}^{1/2}$, which in fact cancels in the products of the $3j$ symbols and the d coefficients in (1.37), (1.39), is given by

$$\Delta_{j_1, j_2, j_3}^{1/2} = \left[\frac{(j_1 + j_2 - j_3)!(j_1 - j_2 + j_3)!(-j_1 + j_2 + j_3)!}{(j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + 1)!} \right]^{1/2} \quad (1.41)$$

The structure constants $D^{(\mathcal{W})}$ of the algebra of currents (1.33) can be written more explicitly as

$$D_{(j_1, m_1), (j_2, m_2), (j_3, m_3)}^{(\mathcal{W})} = \begin{pmatrix} j_1+1 & j_2+1 & j_3+1 \\ m_1 & m_2 & -m_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & -m_3 \end{pmatrix} d_{j_1+1, j_2+1, j_3+1}^{(A)} d_{j_1, j_2, j_3}^{(B)} \quad (1.42)$$

Under the permutation of e.g. (j_1, m_1) and (j_2, m_2) the $3j$ symbols produce the factors:

$$(-1)^{j_1+j_2+j_3+3} \times (-1)^{j_1+j_2+j_3} = -1 \quad (1.43)$$

So the constants (1.42) are properly antisymmetric. The current constants should satisfy also the Jacobi identities, which is harder to verify. This has not been done yet.

2 Calculation of the operator algebra of $T_{j,m}$

We shall look at the product of two particular operators and shall calculate the coefficient at a particular term (operator) in the r.h.s.:

$$T_{j_1,j_1} \times T_{j_2,j_3-j_1} \rightarrow \dots T_{j_3,j_3} \quad (2.1)$$

The operators $T_{j,m}$ are defined in (1.20). It is easy to check that the coefficient at T_{j_3,j_3} is given by:

$$I = I_M I_L \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_M &= \langle \exp(ij_1 X(0)) \times \left(\prod_1^k \oint \frac{dv_i}{2\pi i} \exp(-iX(v_i)) \right) \times \exp(ij_2 X(1)) \rangle \\ &= \prod_1^k \oint \frac{dv_i}{2\pi i} (v_i)^{-2j_1} (v_i - 1)^{-2j_2} \prod_{i < j}^k (v_i - v_j)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$= k! \prod_1^k \frac{\Gamma(i)\Gamma(2j_3 + i)}{\Gamma(2j_1 + 1 - i)\Gamma(2j_2 + 1 - i)} \quad (2.4)$$

(double use of i in (2.3) should not be confusing). Here $k \equiv k_M = j_1 + j_2 - j_3$. The result (2.4) is obtained by transforming the contours in (2.3), which encircle the point $z = 1$, to the standart ones in [22], and then substituting the result for the integral. Some further slight transformations of the resulting products has been done to put the result in a more symmetric form.

$$\begin{aligned} I_L &= \frac{(\mu)^{k_L}}{k_L!} \langle \exp((-1 + j_1)\phi(0)) \times \left(\prod_1^{k_L} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dw_i \exp(-\phi(w_i)) \right) \times \exp((-1 + j_2)\phi(1)) \rangle \\ &= \frac{(\mu)^{k_L}}{k_L!} \prod_1^{k_L} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dw_i (w_i)^{2(-1+j_1)} (w_i - 1)^{2(-1+j_2)} \prod_{i < j}^{k_L} (w_i - w_j)^{-2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

$$= \left(\frac{\mu}{\Gamma(-1)} \right)^{k_L} \prod_1^{k_L} \frac{\Gamma(2j_1 - i)\Gamma(2j_2 - i)}{\Gamma(1 + i)\Gamma(2j_3 + 1 + i)} \quad (2.6)$$

Here $k_L = j_1 + j_2 - j_3 - 1 = k_M - 1$. The contours in (2.5) extend from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ going below $z = 0$ and above $z = 1$. They had been deformed to the standard ones, and the result for the integral in [22] had been used.

For the product of $I_M I_L$ in (2.2) we get

$$I = \left(\frac{\mu}{\Gamma(-1)}\right)^{k_L} (j_1 + j_2 - j_3)! \frac{\Gamma(2j_3 + 1)}{\Gamma(2j_1)\Gamma(2j_2)} \quad (2.7)$$

The remark is in order. The Liouville integral in (2.5) is presumed to come from the expansion over the term

$$\mu \int d^2 w \exp(-\phi(w, \bar{w})) \quad (2.8)$$

in the action for the field ϕ . The k_L th order term is

$$\frac{(\mu)^{k_L}}{k_L!} \prod_1^{k_L} \int d^2 w_i \exp(-\phi(w_i, \bar{w}_i)) \quad (2.9)$$

It is being picked up by the (anomalous) conservation of the ϕ field momenta (or ‘charges’, for the theory with the background charge $\beta_0 = -1$). The integrals in (2.9), which are over the 2D plane, are to be factorized onto chiral and antichiral contour integrals, as is explained e.g. in [23]. In the expansion over the exponential term (2.8) the chiral and the antichiral integrals will go in parallel, in equal numbers, so that the Liouville momenta have to match on both sides of the operator product algebra for the physical operators, i.e. in the relation (2.1) supplied by the antichiral partners:

$$(T_{j_1, j_1} \bar{T}_{j_1, j_1}) \times (T_{j_2, j_3 - j_1} \bar{T}_{j_2, j_3 - j_1}) \rightarrow \dots (T_{j_3, j_3} \bar{T}_{j_3, j_3}) \quad (2.10)$$

It should be noted also that, in undoing the 2D integrals over the plane into the product of the contour integrals, one gets, in a particular way of doing it, the contours extending from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$, one contour going below the other, and all going below the point $z = 0$ and above the point $z = 1$. This is on the chiral side. In the corresponding antichiral factor the integrations will be of the ordered type, one point after another, in the interval $0 < \bar{z} < 1$. This could be replaced also by the integral with all the contours going from $\bar{z} = 0$ to $\bar{z} = 1$, one below the other, which is to be divided then by $k_L!$. This replacement is useful to avoid the formal problem with the exponent -2 in (2.5). (Notice that j_1, j_2 could be thought of, in the calculation of the integral, as having general values, till the final result; so the rest of the exponents in the integrals pose no problem). Taken one way or another, the antichiral part will obviously not supply the extra factor

of $(\mu)^{k_L}$; but also it will not produce the extra factor of $(1/\Gamma(-1))^{k_L}$, as can be checked. The factor

$$\left(\frac{\mu}{\Gamma(-1)}\right)^{k_L} \equiv (\mu_{eff})^{k_L} \quad (2.11)$$

is in fact common for the chiral and the antichiral parts of the operator product algebra.

Since we want to consider the μ deformed algebra, the cosmological constant is being renormalized in a singular way, as in (2.11), which is the known point of the $C = 1$ theory. One also could think of it as of a singular renormalization of the corresponding screening operators, which would be the case for the $C < 1$ theory rotated to the effective theory with $C = 1$.

Performing the singular renormalization, or letting μ to vanish, appears in this respect as our own choice of the theory, both being well defined.

By $su(2)$ invariance the coefficient of (2.1) in (2.7) should have the form:

$$(\mu_{eff})^{k_L} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ j_1 & j_3-j_1 & -j_3 \end{pmatrix} d_{j_1, j_2, j_3} \quad (2.12)$$

where the first factor is the $su(2)$ $3j$ symbol, which in particular could be given as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \Delta^{1/2} [(j_1 + m_1)!(j_1 - m_1)!(j_2 + m_2)!(j_2 - m_2)!(j_3 + m_3)!(j_3 - m_3)!]^{1/2} \\ & \times \sum_i \left(\frac{(-1)^{j_1-j_2-m_3+i}}{(i)!(j_1+j_2-j_3-i)!(j_1-m_1-i)!(j_2+m_2-i)!} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{1}{(j_3-j_2+m_1+i)!(j_3-j_1-m_2+i)!} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

with $\Delta^{1/2}$ given in (1.41). Matching (2.13) with (2.7), to obtain the coefficients d_{j_1, j_2, j_3} , we have to remove first the extra $su(2)$ normalization of the operators $T_{j,m}$ in (1.20), which is

$$\langle T_{j,m}^+ T_{j,m} \rangle \propto (2j)! \frac{(j-m)!}{(j+m)!} \quad (2.14)$$

Finally, for the coefficients of the operator product expansion

$$T_{j_1, m_1} \times T_{j_2, m_2} \rightarrow \sum_{j_3} T_{j_3, m_3} \quad (2.15)$$

we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & -m_3 \end{pmatrix} d_{j_1, j_2, j_3} \quad (2.16)$$

with

$$d_{j_1, j_2, j_3} = (\mu_{eff})^{j_1+j_2-j_3} \frac{(j_1+j_2-j_3)!(j_1-j_2+j_3)!(-j_1+j_2+j_3)!}{\Delta_{j_1, j_2, j_3}^{1/2} [(2j_1)!(2j_2)!(2j_3)!]^{1/2}} \frac{(2j_3)!}{(2j_1-1)!(2j_2-1)!} \quad (2.17)$$

This could be given in a more symmetric form as:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_{eff})^{j_1+j_2-j_3} \times \frac{(j_1+j_2-j_3)!(j_1-j_2+j_3)!(-j_1+j_2+j_3)!}{\Delta_{j_1, j_2, j_3}^{1/2} [(2j_1-1)!(2j_2-1)!(2j_3-1)!]^{1/2}} \\ \times \left[\frac{(2j_3)}{(2j_1)(2j_2)} \right]^{1/2} \times \frac{(2j_3-1)!}{(2j_1-1)!(2j_2-1)!} \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

The above differs from the expression obtained in [19] by the normalization factors of individual operators. This is due to different representation of operators used in [19] and here.

The extra factor of $1/\Gamma(0)$ in [19] is that of the partition function normalization. It is absent here since we are doing directly the operator product expansion, instead of calculating the 3-point function.

The first, third, and fourth factors could be absorbed into the normalization of the operators, which leaves us with the symmetric coefficients $d_{j_1, j_2, j_3}^{(A)}$ in (1.38). We remark that we have not followed and kept the overall sign factors, as they cancel eventually in the products of the chiral and antichiral factors.

3 Calculation of the operator algebra of $O_{j,m}$

For the operators $O_{j,m}$, eq.(1.30), we shall again calculate the coefficient in

$$O_{j_1, j_1} \times O_{j_2, j_3-j_1} \rightarrow \dots O_{j_3, j_3} \quad (3.1)$$

which is easier, in a similar way as for the operators $T_{j,m}$ in Section 2. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& O_{j_1,j_1}(0) \times O_{j_2,j_3-j_1}(1) \\
&= \delta(c(0)T_{j_1+\frac{1}{2},j_1+\frac{1}{2}}(0)) \times \delta((H^-)^{j_2-j_3+j_1}c(1)T_{j_2+\frac{1}{2},j_2+\frac{1}{2}}(1)) \\
&\rightarrow \dots \delta(cT_{j_3+\frac{1}{2},j_3+\frac{1}{2}})
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

The contour of δ acting on the operator at $z = 0$ in l.h.s. can be tranformed to the contour which encircles both operators, at $z = 0$ and at $z = 1$, which is appropriate to obtain eventually the operator in r.h.s., plus the configuration where two δ contours encircle the operator at $z = 1$. The last vanishes because of $\delta^2 = 0$. Then it is sufficient to calculate a slightly reduced operator algebra, that of

$$cT_{j_1+\frac{1}{2},j_1+\frac{1}{2}} \times \delta((H^-)^{j_2-j_3+j_1}cT_{j_2+\frac{1}{2},j_2+\frac{1}{2}}) \rightarrow \dots cT_{j_3+\frac{1}{2},j_3+\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.3}$$

It is easy to check that the coefficient at the operator in r.h.s. of (3.3) is given by the integral:

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \langle \exp(i(j_1 + \frac{1}{2})X(0) + (-\frac{1}{2} + j_1)\phi(0) + i\varphi(0)) \\
&\times \oint \frac{du}{2\pi i} \exp(-\frac{i}{2}X(u) + \frac{1}{2}\phi(u) - i\varphi(0)) \times \prod_1^{k_M} \oint \frac{dv_i}{2\pi i} \exp(-iX(v_i)) \\
&\times \frac{1}{k_L!} \prod_1^{k_L} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dw_i \exp(-\phi(w_i)) \\
&\times \exp(i(j_2 + \frac{1}{2})X(1) + (-\frac{1}{2} + j_2)\phi(1) + i\varphi(1)) \rangle \\
&= \oint \frac{du}{2\pi i} (u)^{-(2j_1+1)} (u-1)^{-(2j_2+1)} \\
&\times \prod_1^{k_M} \oint \frac{dv_i}{2\pi i} (v_i)^{-(2j_1+1)} (v_i-1)^{-(2j_2+1)} (v_i-u) \prod_{i<j}^{k_M} (v_i-v_j)^2 \\
&\times \frac{1}{k_L!} \prod_1^{k_L} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dw_i (w_i)^{2j_1-1} (w_i-1)^{2j_2-1} (w_i-u) \prod_{i<j}^{k_L} (w_i-w_j)^{-2}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

This could be put into the form:

$$I = \oint \frac{du}{2\pi i} (u)^{-(2j_1+1)} (u-1)^{-(2j_2+1)} I_M(u) I_L(u) \tag{3.5}$$

with

$$I_M(u) = \prod_1^{k_M} \oint \frac{dv_i}{2\pi i} (v_i)^{-(2j_1+1)} (v_i - 1)^{-(2j_2+1)} (v_i - u) \prod_{i < j}^{k_M} (v_i - v_j)^2 \quad (3.6)$$

$$I_L(u) = \frac{1}{k_L!} \prod_1^{k_L} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dw_i (w_i)^{2j_1-1} (w_i - 1)^{2j_2-1} (w_i - u) \prod_{i < j}^{k_L} (w_i - w_j)^{-2} \quad (3.7)$$

One checks that in this case (different from that in Sec.2)

$$k_M = k_L = j_1 + j_2 - j_3 \equiv k \quad (3.8)$$

The u contour in (3.5) encircles $z = 1$, like the v_i contours in (3.6). The w_i contours go below $z = 0$ and above $z = 1$, – see remarks on the Liouville contours in Section 2. We dropped here the factor $(\mu)^{k_L}$ in front of the Liouville integrals.

Some intermediate expressions are more symmetric if we present the integrals (3.6), (3.7) in the following forms:

$$I_M(u) \equiv (u)^k J_M(t), \quad t = 1/u$$

$$J_M(t) = \prod_1^k \oint \frac{dv_i}{2\pi i} (v_i)^{-(2j_1+1)} (v_i - 1)^{-(2j_2+1)} (v_i t - 1) \prod_{i < j}^k (v_i - v_j)^2 \quad (3.9)$$

$$I_L(u) \equiv (u)^k J_L(t), \quad t = 1/u$$

$$J_L(t) = \frac{1}{k!} \prod_1^k \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dw_i (w_i)^{2j_1-1} (w_i - 1)^{2j_2-1} (w_i t - 1) \prod_{i < j}^k (w_i - w_j)^{-2} \quad (3.10)$$

The integrals $J_M(t)$, $J_L(t)$ could be transformed to the following forms:

$$J_M(t) = \left(\frac{\sin \pi(-2j_2 - 1)}{\pi} \right)^k$$

$$\times \prod_1^k \int_0^1 dx_i (x_i)^{2j_3+1} (1 - x_i)^{-2j_2-1} (t - x_i) \prod_{i < j}^k (x_i - x_j)^2 \quad (3.11)$$

$$J_L(t) = \left(\frac{\sin \pi(2j_2 - 1)}{\pi} \right)^k$$

$$\times \frac{1}{k!} \prod_1^k \int_0^1 dy_i (y_i)^{-3-2j_3} (1 - y_i)^{2j_2-1} (t - y_i) \prod_{i < j}^k (y_i - y_j)^{-2} \quad (3.12)$$

The contours in (3.11), (3.12) go one below the other, all in the range $0 < z < 1$.

The following results have been found for these integrals:

$$J_M(t) = J_M(0)F_M(t) \quad (3.13)$$

$$J_M(0) = k!(-1)^k \prod_1^k \frac{\Gamma(i)\Gamma(2+2j_3+i)}{\Gamma(2+2j_1-i)\Gamma(2+2j_2-i)} \quad (3.14)$$

$$J_L(t) = J_L(0)F_L(t) \quad (3.15)$$

$$J_M(0) = \frac{(-1)^k}{\Gamma(-1)} \prod_1^k \frac{\Gamma(1+2j_1-i)\Gamma(1+2j_2-i)}{\Gamma(1+i)\Gamma(1+2j_3+i)} \quad (3.16)$$

$$F_M(t) = F_L(t) = \mathcal{F}(1+j_1-j_2+j_3, -k; 2+2j_3; t) \quad (3.17)$$

In (3.17) $\mathcal{F}(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; t)$ is the hypergeometric function – a polynomial, in fact, of order k , as $\beta = -k$, and k is always assumed to be integer. This form of $F_M(t)$, $F_L(t)$ is an assumption, verified by calculating the first two and the last coefficients of the expansions of $J_M(t)$, $J_L(t)$ in powers of t .

Using the parametrization

$$a = -2j_2 - 1, \quad b = 2j_3 \quad (3.18)$$

the function (3.17) could be presented in the following form:

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = (-1)^k k! \frac{\Gamma(2+b)}{\Gamma(2+k+b)} P_k^{(a,b+1)}(2t-1) \quad (3.19)$$

where $P_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is the Jacobi polynomial.

On account of (3.9-3.19) the integral (3.5) could be transformed as

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{\sin \pi(-2j_2-1)}{\pi} J_M(0)J_L(0) \int_1^\infty du (u)^{-(2j_1+1)} (u-1)^{-(2j_2+1)} \times (u)^{2k} (\mathcal{F}(\frac{1}{u}))^2 \\ &= \frac{\sin \pi(-2j_2-1)}{\pi} J_M(0)J_L(0) \int_0^1 du (u)^{2j_3} (1-u)^{-2j_2-1} (\mathcal{F}(u))^2 \\ &= \frac{\sin \pi(-2j_2-1)}{\pi} J_M(0)J_L(0) (k! \frac{\Gamma(2+b)}{\Gamma(2+k+b)})^2 \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 du (u)^b (1-u)^a (P_k^{(a,b+1)}(2u-1))^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

The parameters a , b are defined in (3.18). The last integral could be found in the tables and is given by

$$\frac{\Gamma(2+b+k)\Gamma(1+a+k)}{k!(b+1)\Gamma(2+a+b+k)} \quad (3.21)$$

Substituting the expressions for $J_M(0)$, $J_L(0)$ in (3.14), (3.16), and the expression (3.21) for the integral in (3.20), we find:

$$I = \frac{1}{(\Gamma(-1))^k} (j_1 + j_2 - j_3)! \frac{\Gamma(1 + 2j_3)}{\Gamma(1 + 2j_1)\Gamma(1 + 2j_2)} \quad (3.22)$$

This is the value of the coefficient in the operator product expansion (3.3) and (3.1), the factor $(1/\Gamma(-1))^k$ is to be absorbed in the renormalization of $(\mu)^k$ which has been dropped in the above expressions. On general grounds this coefficient has to be of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ j_1 & j_3 - j_1 & -j_3 \end{pmatrix} d'_{j_1, j_2, j_3} \quad (3.23)$$

like that in Section 2. In a similar way, by matching (3.23) with (3.22), the coefficient d'_{j_1, j_2, j_3} is found to be:

$$\begin{aligned} d'_{j_1, j_2, j_3} &= (\mu_{eff})^{j_1 + j_2 - j_3} \times \frac{(j_1 + j_2 - j_3)! (j_1 - j_2 + j_3)! (-j_1 + j_2 + j_3)!}{\Delta_{j_1, j_2, j_3}^{1/2} [(2j_1)! (2j_2)! (2j_3)!]^{1/2}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{(2j_3)!}{(2j_1)! (2j_2)!} \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Here we have supplied back the factor $(\mu_{eff})^k$. The first and the last factors in (3.24) could be absorbed into the normalization of the operators, and we get the symmetric coefficients $d_{j_1, j_2, j_3}^{(B)}$ in (1.40).

4 Conclusions and discussion

One conclusion of the calculation is that the deformed operator algebra of currents is different from $\mathcal{T}(\mu)$, the enveloping algebra of $su(2)$ for a fixed value μ of the quadratic Casimir operator, which has been discussed in a number of recent papers.

The relation of the operators $O_{j,m}$ to $T_{j,m}$ by the operator δ in (1.30) might be of interest on its own, apart from the purpose of the calculation in this paper.

The relation by the linear transformation (1.11) of the minimal, $C < 1$, and $C = 1$ theories suggests the use of extra screening operators, of Liouville–matter mixed type. They would appear in particular in the representation of correlation functions of fractional

j operators (1.14),(1.15), in the context of $C = 1$ theory. The correlation function of this type are related to the unsolved yet problem of calculating the general multipoint functions in $C < 1$ theory,— to begin with the four–point ones, still on a sphere. Remarks to this problem are given in [24]. We hope to make some progress on this in the future.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to the colleagues at CERN and at LPTHE, Jussieu, Paris, for the hospitality and stimulating discussions. I have benefited in particular from discussions with E. Kiritsis and with P. Bouwknegt.

References

- [1] D. J. Gross, I. R. Klebanov and M. J. Newman, *Nucl.Phys.* **B350** (1991) 621;
D. J. Gross, I. R. Klebanov, *Nucl.Phys.* **B352** (1991) 671
- [2] B. Lian and G. Zuckerman, *Phys.Lett.* **254B** (1991) 417; *Phys.Lett.* **266B** (1991) 21
- [3] A.M.Polyakov, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A6** (1991) 635
- [4] C. Imbimbo, S. Mahapatra, and S. Mukhi, Preprint GEF-TH 8/91, TIFR/TH/91-27, May 1991
- [5] P. Bouwknegt, J. McCarthy, and K. Pilch, Preprint CERN-TH.6162/91, July 1991
- [6] S. Govindarajan, T. Jayaraman, V. John and P. Majumdar, Preprint IMSc -91/40, December 1991
- [7] N. Chair, V. K. Dobrev and H. Kanno, Preprint IC/92/17, January 1992
- [8] E. Witten, Preprint SLAC-PUB-IASSNS-HEP-91/51, August, 1991
- [9] I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A6** (1991) 3273
- [10] J. Avan and A. Jevicki, *Phys.Lett.* **266B** (1991) 35; Preprints BROWN-HET-824 and BROWN-HET-839;
D. Mimic, J. Polchinski and Z. Yang, Preprint UTTG-16-91;
G. Moore and N. Seiberg, Preprint RU-91-29, YCTP-P19-91;
S. Das, A. Dhar, G. Mandal and S. Wadia, Preprints IASSNS-HEP-91/52 and 91/72
- [11] I. R. Klebanov, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A7** (1992) 723
- [12] E. Verlinde, Preprint IASSNS-HEP-92/5, February 1992
- [13] E. Witten and B. Zwiebach, Preprint SLAC-PUB-IASSNS-HEP-92/2, MIT-CTP-2057, January 1992
- [14] M. Bershadsky and D. Kutasov, Preprint PUPT-1315, HUTP-92/A016, April 1992

- [15] I. R. Klebanov and A. Pasquinucci, Preprint PUPT-1313, April 1992
- [16] M. Li, Preprint UCSBTH-91-47, October 1991
- [17] S. Kachru, Preprint PUPT-1305, January 1992
- [18] J. L. F. Barbón, Preprint CERN-TH.6379/92, FTUAM-92-02, January 1992
- [19] Vl. S. Dotsenko, Preprint PAR-LPTHE 92-4, January 1992
- [20] F. David, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A3** (1988) 1651
 J. Distler and H. Kawai, *Nucl.Phys.* **B321** (1989) 509
- [21] D. Friedan, E. Martinec and S. Shenker, *Nucl.Phys.* **B271** (1986) 93
- [22] Vl. S. Dotsenko and V. A. Fateev, *Nucl.Phys.* **B251** (1985) 691
- [23] Vl. S. Dotsenko, *Adv.Stud. in Pure Math.* **16** (1988)123
- [24] Vl. S. Dotsenko, Preprint PAR-LPTHE 91-52, to be published in Proceedings of
 Cargèse Summer School, July 15 – July 27 1991